THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

WILLIE J. MORGAN, P.E.

AUGUST 17, 2011



DOCKET NO. 2011-47-WS

Application of Carolina Water Service, Incorporated for Approval of an Increase in Its Rates for Water and Sewer Services Provided to All of Its Service Areas in South Carolina August 17, 2011 Page 1 of 17

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIE J. MORGAN, P.E.										
2		FOR									
3	THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF										
4		DOCKET NO. 2011-47-WS									
5	IN R	E: APPLICATION OF CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INCORPORATED									
6	I	FOR APPROVAL OF AN INCREASE IN ITS RATES FOR WATER AND									
7	SEW	VER SERVICES PROVIDED TO ALL OF ITS SERVICE AREAS IN SOUTH									
8		CAROLINA									
9											
10	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND									
11		OCCUPATION.									
12	A.	My name is Willie J. Morgan, and my business address is 1401 Main									
13		Street, Suite 900, Columbia, South Carolina 29201. I am employed by the South									
14		Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") as the Program Manager for the									
15		Water and Wastewater Department.									
16	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND									
17		EXPERIENCE.									
18	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Engineering from the									
19		University of South Carolina in 1985 and a Master of Arts Degree in Management									
20		from Webster University in 2000. I am a licensed Professional Engineer									
21		registered in the State of South Carolina and have completed the Certified Public									
22		Manager Program. My professional affiliations include membership in the									
23		American Water Works Association ("AWWA"), the South Carolina Section of									

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

Page 2 of 17

the American Water Works Association ("SC-AWWA") and the National Society
of Professional Engineers ("NSPE"). Also, I have served as a Director for the
Columbia Chapter of the South Carolina Society of Professional Engineers. After
graduating from the University of South Carolina, I was employed by the South
Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control ("DHEC") as an
Environmental Engineer Associate. Later, I was promoted to the position of
Permitting Liaison where I assisted industries and the public with environmental
permitting requirements in the State of South Carolina. This assistance included
providing information about air quality, solid and hazardous waste management,
and water and wastewater management requirements. I was employed by DHEC
for nineteen years. In October 2004, I joined ORS as the Program Manager for
the Water and Wastewater Department.
HOW LONG HAVE YOU PROVIDED REGULATORY OVERSIGHT
AND ENGINEERING SERVICES TO WATER AND WASTEWATER
FACILITIES?
I have over twenty-five years of regulatory compliance experience
providing assistance and regulatory oversight for water and wastewater facilities
and services.
and services. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

Page 3 of 17

1		Service Commission of South Carolina's ("Commission" or "PSC") rules and							
2		regulations, ORS's compliance review of CWS's water and wastewater systems,							
3		test-year revenue, calculated proposed revenue, performance bond requirements,							
4		and proposed changes to CWS's tariff language.							
5	Q.	ARE THE FINDINGS OF YOUR REVIEW CONTAINED IN THIS							
6		TESTIMONY AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS?							
7	A.	Yes, my testimony and the attached exhibits detail ORS's findings and							
8		recommendations.							
9	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW YOU COMPILED INFORMATION FOR YOUR							
10		TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS.							
11	A.	I used ORS compliance review results, information provided by CWS in							
12		its application and additional information provided by CWS during the course of							
13		our business review and facility site inspections. I also reviewed CWS's financial							
14		statements and performance bond documents submitted to the Commission.							
15	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE LOCATIONS, SERVICE							
16		TYPES AND CUSTOMER BASE SERVED BY CWS.							
17	A.	CWS is a public utility providing water supply/distribution services and							
18		wastewater collection/treatment services. As a subsidiary of Utilities, Inc.,							
19		CWS's South Carolina operations are classified by the National Association of							
20		Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") as a Class A water and							
21		wastewater utility according to water and sewer revenues reported on its							
22		application for the test year ending September 30, 2010. The Commission-							
23		approved service area for CWS includes portions of Aiken, Beaufort,							

A.

Page 4 of 17

Georgetown, Lexington, Orangeburg, Richland, Sumter, Williamsburg, and York Counties. According to CWS's customer records for the test year ending September 30, 2010, ORS determined that CWS was providing water supply/distribution services to 7,645 residential and commercial customers and wastewater collection/treatment services to 10,962 residential and commercial customers.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN EXHIBIT WJM-1 OF YOUR REPORT.

Exhibit WJM-1, consisting of seventeen pages, is a summary of the water supply/distribution and wastewater collection/treatment systems inspected by ORS on July 18, 20, 21, and 26, 2011.

Water Supply/Distribution System

CWS currently provides adequate water supply and distribution services to its residential and commercial customers. Water is provided to customers by CWS-operated wells or by an outside bulk water provider. In some cases, CWS purchases water to serve customers from governmental entities such as the City of West Columbia, Town of Lexington, Lexington Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission, and York County. There are a total of twenty-one (21) water systems operated by CWS. CWS has made infrastructure improvements, and repaired and replaced pump houses, storage tanks and operating wells. Safe drinking water standards were being met according to recent DHEC sanitary survey reports and required certified operator logs were in compliance at ORS-audited facilities. A Consent Order was executed on July 14, 2011 between DHEC and CWS for exceeding the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") for

Page 5 of 17

arsenic at Lakewood Estates, a water system in Lexington County. General housekeeping items including treatment chemical labeling, facility fencing, access roads and signage are satisfactory. Potable water and irrigation consumption is metered to all customers. With the exception of the Lake Wylie community, CWS does not provide fire protection services to its customers and is not required to provide this service. No major construction activity is being proposed by CWS for its water systems.

Wastewater Collection/Treatment System

CWS provides wastewater treatment pursuant to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") and No Discharge permits. During the ORS inspection, the majority of the wastewater collection and treatment systems were operating adequately. DHEC issued a Notice of Violation on May 13, 2011 for CWS's I-20 Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (SC0035564) for biochemical oxygen demand ("BOD") violations. In addition, since January 2010, several warning letters have been issued by DHEC to CWS for NPDES permit violations including Ammonia, Fecal Coliform, and Total Residual Chlorine exceedance.

CWS operates a total of nine (9) wastewater collection and treatment systems. In addition, CWS operates six (6) wastewater collection only systems for which it collects wastewater from its customers and transports the wastewater to another entity for treatment and disposal. Wastewater treatment and disposal is provided to CWS collection only customers by Beaufort-Jasper Water and Sewer Authority, Richland County, Town of Chapin and York County.

THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, SC 29201 August 17, 2011 Page 6 of 17

1		While no sanitary sewer overflows ("SSO") were noted during the ORS
2		site visits, there have been instances of SSOs from the collection system during
3		the test year and in 2011.
4		In addition, ORS identified several infrastructure improvements which
5		were not complete and/or not in service during the ORS site visits. The following
6		project costs were removed from CWS's rate base:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13		 Digester tank and blowers at the Whites Creek/Lincolnshire wastewater treatment plant; Site work for foundation in preparation to install ultra-violet disinfection treatment system at the Whites Creek/Lincolnshire wastewater treatment plant; and, Ultra-violet disinfection treatment system at the Pocalla wastewater treatment plant.
14	Q.	DOES ORS RECOMMEND ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO THE TEST YEAR
15		REVENUES OF CWS?
16	A.	Yes. ORS completed a review of CWS's customer water consumption
17		and base facility charge ("BFC") revenue calculations and its sewer revenue
18		calculations for the test year. Based on that review, ORS made revenue
19		adjustments totaling \$415,833 as shown on Audit Exhibit SGS-1. These revenue
20		adjustments normalize the customer billing information provided by CWS. ORS
21		imputed a significant amount of test year revenue based on customer billing
22		information provided in the CWS application, supplemental customer data
23		provided by CWS, and ORS verification of active customers. Commission
24		approved rates were applied to all customers.
25	Q.	EXPLAIN THE TEST YEAR REVENUE INFORMATION CALCULATED
26		BY ORS FOR CWS.

THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, SC 29201

A.

Page 7 of 17

Exhibit WJM-2 summarizes CWS's service revenues for the test year ending September 30, 2010. ORS used CWS's current and proposed rates as reflected in the Application for these calculations. ORS calculated test year revenue based on customer billing information provided in the Application, supplemental data provided by CWS, and the ORS audit. Based on our review, an adjustment to service revenue is required for the test year ending September 30, 2010. In summary, ORS calculated CWS's test year service revenue for water operations, as adjusted, of \$2,433,619. ORS calculated CWS's test year service revenue for wastewater operations, as adjusted, of \$5,326,535. ORS calculated test year service revenues for combined operations, as adjusted, of \$7,760,154. For comparison purposes, ORS calculated CWS's proposed water service revenues, as adjusted, of \$4,407,255. ORS calculated CWS's proposed wastewater service revenues, as adjusted, of \$6,052,789. At CWS's proposed rates, combined service operating revenue, as adjusted, would total \$10,460,044.

ORS did not factor customer growth into these revenue comparisons. As shown in Exhibit WJM-3, the projected growth for CWS for water and wastewater service is 1.004%.

ORS proposes a \$94,397 adjustment to CWS's test year Miscellaneous Revenues based on CWS's revenue records, late payment calculations and the NARUC Chart of Accounts. The Total Miscellaneous Revenues for the test year, as adjusted, is \$259,354. ORS calculated proposed Miscellaneous Revenues for CWS, as adjusted, of \$528,791 using CWS's proposed rates and ORS synchronized Late Fees revenue to the proposed rates in CWS's application.

Page 8 of 17

From a review of CWS's records, ORS found that an allowance for future
uncollectible accounts or bad debt expense of (\$79,840) to be appropriate for the
applicant's proposed revenues. This amount is computed using the as adjusted
uncollectible accounts at present rates of \$79,035. ORS reviewed the historic bad
debt expense incurred by CWS and the billing records and practices of CWS to
determine an appropriate uncollectible allowance. ORS identified that CWS
continued to bill active accounts during the test year month after month even
though payment was not received from the customer. CWS did not verify
occupancy, initiate service termination procedures, or manage these delinquent
accounts in accordance with its collections process. Therefore, CWS allowed an
inordinate amount of unpaid invoices and late fees to accrue during the test year
that were uncollectible and eventually written off to bad debt expense. ORS
recommends CWS manage delinquent customer accounts in a manner compliant
with Commission regulations and consistent with their own collections process.
ORS adjusted uncollectible accounts at present and proposed rates are within
1.1% of service revenues.
DO NOTI HAVE ANY GUICGEGETONG BOD GWG TO IMPROVE ITG

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY SUGGESTIONS FOR CWS TO IMPROVE ITS COLLECTIONS PROCESS ON DELINQUENT CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS?

Yes. During the test year, CWS changed its collections process. According to the information provided to ORS by CWS, the utility is now attempting to collect from delinquent customers by utilizing the following process:

August 17, 2011 Page 9 of 17

- 1. Outstanding balance letter -1 to 3 days after due date
- 2. Collection agency letter 30 days after Step 1
- 3. Second Collection Agency Letter 30 days after Step 2
- 4. Referred to Collection Agency 30 days after Step 3
- 5. Written off 60 days after Step 4

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

The old process was similar, but a change was made by CWS "to refer outstanding debt to the collection agency sooner in an effort to collect on bad debt." ORS considers the current process reasonable. However, CWS must follow through with consistent implementation of the plan.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE STATUS OF THE PERFORMANCE BOND FOR CWS.

CWS has a current performance bond for utility operations in the form of an Irrevocable Letter of Credit ("ILC") from JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as surety in the amount of \$350,000 for water and \$350,000 for wastewater operations. Based on the expenses from the test year and using the criteria set forth in 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-512.3.1 and 103-712.3.1, ORS determined that the face amount of CWS's bond should be \$350,000 for water operations and \$350,000 for wastewater operations. ORS respectfully requests that the Commission require CWS to continue to maintain the current performance bond amount for water operations in the amount of \$350,000 and for wastewater operations in the amount of \$350,000 as it is in the public interest for CWS to maintain a bond that satisfies the criteria as set forth in S.C. Code Ann. § 58-5-720 (Supp. 2010).

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A.

Page 10 of 17

Q.	ON WHAT BASIS	DOES ORS	MAKE	DEPRECIABLE	SERVICE	LIFE
	RECOMMENDATION	ONS?				

A. ORS recommendations are based on the conclusions outlined in the
Florida Public Service Commission Water and Wastewater System Regulatory
Law as recommended by the NARUC staff. ORS's approach and conclusions
made concerning depreciation are also consistent with the Public Utility
Depreciation Practices manual as published by NARUC in 1996.

Q. DOES ORS FIND CWS'S REQUEST TO INCREASE ITS NOTIFICATION FEE FOR DELINQUENT SEWER CUSTOMERS REASONABLE?

Yes. 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-535.1. requires that a 30 day notice be given prior to discontinuance of sewer service to a delinquent customer and that this notice be given by certified mail. If a second notice is necessary, it must be provided by certified mail. As justification for its request, CWS states that the fee assesses a portion of the clerical and mailing costs of such notices to the customers that create the cost. Due to increases in postage by the U.S. Postal Service and other cost associated with mailing Certified/Return Receipt mailings, ORS considers the increase from \$4.00 to \$15.00 to be reasonable.

Q. WHAT IS ORS'S POSITION REGARDING CWS'S REQUEST TO ADD THE NON-RECURRING CHARGES FOR DISCONNECTION, METER INSTALLATION, TAMPERING, AND PUMPING?

A. CWS proposes to add several non-recurring charges to its rate schedule in order to recover costs associated with performing certain services and

Page 11 of 17

damaging/tampering with CWS service lines and equipment. I will address each non-recurring charge separately.

Disconnection Charge for Water and Sewer Service

CWS is proposing a Disconnection Charge for the discontinuance of service to a customer for violation of rules and regulations, nonpayment of bills, or fraudulent use of service or at the request of the customer as allowed under 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-532.4. and 103-732.5. The charges proposed by CWS are to reimburse CWS for the cost of physically disconnecting the customer's service. The proposed amount of the charge in the application is not specific and refers to the <u>actual cost</u> of disconnection with the added language that the amount is due at the time of disconnection.

1) Disconnection for Water Service:

CWS identified the cost for performing a water service disconnection as \$35 which covers the cost of printing/mailing a 10 day disconnection notice, transportation expense, labor cost, and a meter lock. This cost is reasonable and ORS recommends the Disconnect Charge be \$35 instead of "actual cost" as requested by CWS. In addition, ORS recommends the Reconnection Fee for water service which is currently \$35 be reduced to \$25. This will reflect the actual costs identified for CWS to travel to the customer site and restore service. No additional mailing or meter lock is required for a reconnection.

2) Disconnection for Sewer Service:

During the application review process, ORS requested a detailed expense justification of the costs to support the Disconnection Charge for sewer service.

Page 12 of 17

CWS stated that the expense includes mailing/printing costs to mail termination notices and other cost which "varies greatly depending on various factors" to disconnect a sewer customer. CWS did not provide a detailed justification for any costs to ORS. While ORS understands that elder valves are not installed on all sewer service connections, CWS could have provided reasonable estimates to support its request. CWS has also requested an increase to the Notification Charge for sewer disconnection. CWS cannot include the cost of mailing/printing notices to sewer customers in its sewer service Disconnection Charge. ORS cannot support the proposed Disconnection Charge for sewer service at "actual cost" as presented by CWS in its application as ORS could not evaluate the actual cost. If the Commission were to approve a Disconnection Charge for water and/or sewer, ORS recommends that the rate schedule identify a specific dollar amount for the charge. This would provide transparency to the customer and assure billing accuracy.

Meter Installation Charge

A Meter Installation Charge of \$100 is proposed by CWS to recover the actual cost to install a water meter at a customer premise. Also, CWS proposes that the Meter Installation Charge be increased "with meter size to reflect the increased cost of meters and appurtenances." CWS is proposing that the Utility's **actual cost** be incurred by the customer for those instances whereby the meter size is different than 5/8 inches x 3/4 inches. ORS recommends the Meter Installation Charge be denied by the Commission for the following reasons:

Page 13 of 17

1) The charge is unnecessary.	CWS states in its Application Exhibit A
page 3 that "all meters 5/8 inches x 3/4 in	ches shall meet the Utility's standards and
shall be installed by the developer." T	Therefore, CWS will not incur any cost to
install a meter of this size on its system.	

2) The proposed tariff language is unclear and ambiguous. For example, it is unclear in the proposed tariff language who would be responsible for installing a meter that is larger or smaller than 5/8 inches x 3/4 inches. CWS Application Exhibit A page 3 states that for all other meters, the meters "shall meet Utility standards and be installed by the Utility unless the Utility directs otherwise." During the night hearing in Lake Wylie on August 3, 2011, the Commission heard testimony from a developer in the Lake Wylie area, Mr. Roger Schwartz, that indicates that the developer installed meters larger than 5/8 x 3/4 inches at its own expense.

Additionally, CWS charged some customers a \$50 "meter set fee" during the test year. This fee has not been approved by the Commission, and CWS should be required to refund any customer who paid this fee.

Tampering Charge

CWS proposes a Tampering Charge of <u>actual cost</u> to recover the expenses to repair damaged service lines, valves, meters and equipment. This charge is designed "to deter customers from tampering with the operation, maintenance, or repair of a water or sewer service." 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-535.D. and 103-735.D. requires that the customer make "every reasonable effort to prevent tampering and shall notify the utility immediately of any tampering with damage

Page 14 of 17

to, or removal of any equipment." If the customer complies with this Commission requirement, CWS should not be allowed to charge the customer for tampering. CWS estimates that the Tampering Charge will generate approximately \$3,000 per year based on the charge being applied once per month at \$250 each. ORS would support the recovery by CWS of all actual expenses related to repair of damaged equipment or facilities if it can be proven that a customer willfully damaged or tampered with CWS's equipment.

Pumping Charge

CWS proposes to revise the Pumping Charge for those sewer customers who utilize a solids interceptor tank to be the <u>actual cost</u> with a minimum charge of \$150.00. CWS stated that there are 1,015 interceptor tanks in use and provided an estimate that 20% of the tanks are pumped annually. ORS agrees that the actual cost to pump a solids interceptor tank has increased since the Commission approved the \$150 charge. ORS requested specific details to support the actual cost of the services provided by CWS. CWS stated that "actual costs vary from \$250.00 to \$700.00" but did not provide a specific breakdown of what comprised those cost estimates and ORS is unable to evaluate if the cost estimate is reasonable. ORS cannot support the requested revision to the Pumping Charge as ORS could not evaluate the actual cost.

If the Commission were to approve a revision to the Pumping Charge, ORS recommends that the rate schedule identify a specific dollar amount for the charge. This would provide transparency to the customer and assure billing accuracy.

A.

A.

Page 15 of 17

Q. PLEASE DISCUSS YOUR FINDINGS RELATED TO SEWER INFLOW AND INFILTRATION.

During the ORS site inspection, the flow at all wastewater treatment facilities inspected appeared to be functioning normal with the exception of the CWS sewer system in Georgetown (Lincolnshire SC0030732). Historically, the CWS sewer system in Georgetown has had excessive inflow/infiltration ("I&I") which severely impacts the treatment plant's ability to remain in compliance with the NPDES permit. It is apparent to ORS that CWS has not made progress with the Georgetown wastewater collection system to reduce the excessive I&I since the 2006 rate case (Docket No. 2006-92-WS). ORS recommends that CWS conduct a feasibility study and develop a manageable plan to mitigate the excessive I&I at its Georgetown system.

Prior to this rate case, ORS evaluated CWS's sewer system in Beaufort serving the Palmetto Apartments for I&I in response to customer complaints about high bills. ORS again reviewed the I&I statistics on the CWS Beaufort system in support of this case and determined that no excessive I&I problems exist in the system serving Palmetto Apartments.

Q. DOES ORS SUPPORT THE CWS REQUEST TO MODIFY ITS TERMS AND CONDITIONS RELATING TO WATER AND SEWER SERVICE CONNECTION FEE?

No. ORS does not support the proposed modification of the language for Water Service Connection Fee (CWS Application Exhibit A page 2 and 3) and Sewer Service Connection Fee (CWS Application A page 7). While CWS is not

Page 16 of 17

1		requesting an increase to either fee, the clarifying language proposed by CWS is
2		misleading. CWS has requested the following language be added for water and
3		sewer service connection fees:
4		"Service Connection fees include the cost to physically install the
5		utility's service line from its main to the customer's premise"
6		ORS's review indicates that CWS's work related to connecting a new customer to
7		its main is limited to inspection, administration, and supervision. There is no
8		physical work completed by CWS to install the service line for new customers,
9		since this work is completed by the developer or builder. ORS recommends the
10		Commission keep the current tariff language as it relates to Water Service
11		Connection and Sewer Service Connection.
12	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN EXHIBIT WJM-4 OF YOUR REPORT.
13	A.	Exhibit WJM-4 is a summary of the current PSC approved rates for CWS
14		and CWS's proposed rates.
15	Q.	DO YOU HAVE AN ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATION FOR CWS
16		REGARDING ITS WATER SYSTEM?
17	A.	Yes. To support the need to improve its non-revenue water statistics, ORS
18		recommends that either CWS use meters on all release points (i.e., blow-off
19		and/or flushing locations, etc.) or demonstrate it can properly quantify and
20		document its water consumption for flushing. Quantifying water used in flushing
21		improves accountability and will assist CWS as it implements its AWWA water
22		audit program.

August 17, 2011 Page 17 of 17

Q. ARE THERE ANY OUTSTANDING INFORMATION REQUESTS THAT COULD AFFECT THE ABOVE TESTIMONY?

- A. Yes. ORS has requested further information from CWS. If changes are
 deemed necessary by ORS based on the information received and reviewed, ORS
 will file supplemental direct testimony to reflect these findings.
- 6 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 7 A. Yes it does.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Falcon Ranches Subdivision

Utility Representative:Robert GilroyNumber of Customers:98 customers

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Wells, storage, & distribution

Location of System: Falcon Ranches Subdivision - Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, pH adjustment

Permit #: 3250016

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 4, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Septic

	System Components	Specific	#	P	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S I		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	2			Х		
2	Pump Houses		2			X		
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	1		10k gal	Х		
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator					Х		
5	Other Chemicals in use					Х		Soda ash
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					х		



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Glenn Village/Stonebridge

Utility Representative: Robert Gilroy

Number of Customers: 206 customers and 195 customer from Glenn Village

I/Cambridge Hills area

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Wells, distribution

Location of System: Glenn Village/Stonebridge/Sandy Creek/Cambridge Hills

Subdivisions, Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, pH adjustment, radium removal

Permit #: 3250058

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 5, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Carolina Water Service Inc. sentic

vva	stewater Provider:	Carolina	water	Servi	ce, mc., septi	IC .		
	System Components	Specific	#	PSI	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре				Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	6			Х		1 well abandoned
2	Pump Houses	wood	6			Х		
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	4		45kgal	Х		
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator					Х		
5	Other Chemicals in use					Х		NaOH, KCL
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					х		

<u>Additional Comments:</u> Glenn Village I (USSC water system), Glenn Village II, & Stonebridge well systems were interconnected in 2008 per DHEC. No application to transfer the customer base associated with Glenn Village I has been filed with the PSC to date. Well #1 in Glenn Village II area of system has radium above MCL and treatment has been added to reduce radium level in water pumped from this well.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Hidden Valley Country Club

Utility Representative:Robert GilroyNumber of Customers:185 customersSystem Type (distribution, well, etc):Wells, distribution

Location of System: Hidden Valley Country Club Subdivision, Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, pH adjustment

Permit #: 3250073

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 4, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Septic

vva	stewater Provider:	Septic						T
	System Components	Specific	#	PSI	Capacity	Compliance		Comments
	Inspected	Туре				Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	3			х		2 wells on line; 1 with turbidity issues off line
2	Pump Houses	wood	2			Х		
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	1		10k gal	X		
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead	1		75k gal	Х		Telemetry system
4	Chlorinator					X		
5	Other Chemicals in use					X		NaOH
6	Meters					X		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					X		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					х		



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected:July 20, 2011Inspector Name:Willie MorganDocket Number:2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Hunter's Glen Subdivision

Utility Representative:Tony EllingerNumber of Customers:94 customers

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Well, storage, & distribution

Location of System: Hunter's Glen Subdivision - Aiken County **Location of Utility Office:** 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, pH adjustment

Permit #: 0250005

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – April 14, 2011

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Septic

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	3			Х		Well #1 off-line.
2	Pump Houses							
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	2		10k each	Х		
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator					Х		
5	Other Chemicals in use					Х		
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks						Х	See below
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					х		

Additional Comments: Booster pumps used for transmission in looped system. Leak was discovered inside fenced area of well #2. The leak appeared to be coming from the utility service line providing water service to the nearby customers.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18 & 20, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – I-20

Utility Representative: Robert Gilroy, Tony Ellinger

Number of Customers: 2249 customers

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Distribution, purchase water from City of West Columbia **Location of System:** Oak Grove Subdivision & surrounding area, Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: None
Permit #: 3250012

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 4, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Carolina Water Service, Inc., & septic

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites							All water is purchased from City of West Columbia
2	Pump Houses							
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized						
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead	1			NA	NA	See comment below
4	Chlorinator							No treatment by CWS
5	Other Chemicals in use							No treatment by CWS
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					Х		

Additional Comments: Elevated storage tank not in use by CWS. System is provided with water through three master meters from City of West Columbia.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Indian Fork/Forty Love Point

Subdivisions

Utility Representative:Robert GilroyNumber of Customers:204 customers

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Wells, storage, & distribution

Location of System: Indian Fork/Forty Love Point Subdivisions - Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia **Treatment Type:** Chlorination, pH adjustment, softener

Permit #: 3250066

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 12, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Town of Chapin, Richland County or Septic

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Compliance		Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	8			Х		
2	Pump Houses							
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	4		35k gal	Х		2009-2010 Tanks cleaned
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator					Х		
5	Other Chemicals in use					Х		
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					х		

Additional Comments: There are two 10k gallon tanks and two 6.5k gallon tanks for a total storage capacity of 33k gallons. Filter was installed due to complaints about particles/dirt in water. Quarterly flushing program.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 21, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – River Hills

Utility Representative: Mac Mitchell, Dick Henson (for Forest Oaks & River Hills Area)

Number of Customers: 2903 customers

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Distribution, purchased water from York County (which is

purchased from City of Rock Hill)

Location of System: Lake Wylie area (Hwy 49 & 274 area) – York County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: None **Permit #:** 4650006

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 11, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Carolina Water Service, Inc., & septic

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites							Purchases water from York County
2	Pump Houses							
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized						
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						See comment below
4	Chlorinator							No treatment by CWS
5	Other Chemicals in use							No treatment by CWS
6	Meters					Х		See comment below
7	Hydrants					Х		Fire protection & flushing
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					х		

Additional Comments: Elevated storage tank is owned by CWS but leased and maintained by York County. ORS witnessed service termination procedures during inspection. Field technician turned service valve into off position, but did not lock the valve to ensure service termination. Each service valve is to be locked to ensure termination of service. This process was confirmed by Regional Manager.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Mallard Cove

Utility Representative:Robert GilroyNumber of Customers:29 customersSystem Type (distribution, well, etc):Well, distribution

Location of System: Mallard Cove/Seay Cove Subdivisions, Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, pH adjustment

Permit #: 3250076

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 3, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Carolina Water Service, Inc.

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	1			Х		
2	Pump Houses	wood	1			Х		
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	1		2k gal	Х		Exterior needs to be cleaned
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator					Х		
5	Other Chemicals in use					Х		
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants							None observed
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					х		



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 26, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Pocalla Subdivision

Utility Representative: Mac Mitchell, Randall Plummer

Number of Customers: 97 customers

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Well system with storage

Location of System: Sumter County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, corrosion inhibitor, pH adjustment

Permit #: 4350007

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – February 2, 2011

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Carolina Water Service, Inc.

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	2			Х		
2	Pump Houses		1			Х		
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	1		7.5k gal	X		
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator					Х		
5	Other Chemicals in use					Х		
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		
9	Piping acceptable					X		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					Х		



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 21, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Heatherwood/Blue Ridge Terrace

Subdivisions

Utility Representative:Robert GilroyNumber of Customers:158 customersSystem Type (distribution, well, etc):Wells, distribution

Location of System: Heatherwood/Blue Ridge Subdivision, Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, pH adjustment

Permit #: 3250015

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – May 4, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: Septic

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре	pe S Yes No					
1	Well Sites	bored	4			Х		
2	Pump Houses	wood	2			Х		
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	1		30k gal	Х		
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator							N/A
5	Other Chemicals in use							Soda ash
6	Meters					Х		
7	Hydrants					Х		Flushing only
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					Х		-
9	Piping acceptable					Х		
10	System free of leaks					Х		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					Х		



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 26, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Rock Bluff Subdivision

Utility Representative: Mac Mitchell

Number of Customers: 22

System Type (distribution, well, etc): Well system with storage **Location of System:** Rock Bluff, Sumter County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Chlorination, corrosion inhibitor, pH adjustment

Permit #: 4550001

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory – December 2, 2010

Frequency checked by Licensed Daily

Operator:

Wastewater Provider: CWS

	System Components	Specific	#	Р	Capacity	Comp	liance	Comments
	Inspected	Туре		S		Yes	No	
1	Well Sites	bored	1			X		
2	Pump Houses	block	1			Х		
3	Storage Tank	Pressurized	1		3k gal	X		
3a	Storage Tank	Non- Pressurized						
3b	Storage Tank	Overhead						
4	Chlorinator					X		
5	Other Chemicals in use					X		
6	Meters					X		
7	Hydrants							None present
8	Electrical Wiring acceptable					X		
9	Piping acceptable					X		
10	System free of leaks					X		
11	Access road adequate					Х		
12	Ability for service area to expand					Х		See comment below

<u>Additional Comments:</u> Williamsburg Water & Sewer Authority has installed water taps to most of the premises in the subdivision. Almost all customers of CWS have paid connection charges to be connected to Williamsburg Water & Sewer Authority for water service.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Friarsgate

Utility Representative:Robert GilroyNumber of Customers:3203 customers

System Type (collection, force main, lagoon, etc): Collection, forced main, aerated lagoon

Location of System: Friarsgate subdivision & surrounding area, Richland & Lexington Counties

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type:Biological Treatment using a lined oxidation ditch and return activated

sludge process

Permit #: SC0036137

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Non-compliance (June 7 – 9, 2010)

Frequency checked by WWTF Operator: Daily – Ralph Cook

Drinking Water Provider: City of Columbia, wells, others

	System Components Inspected	Comp	liance	Comments
		Yes	No	
1	Chlorinator			None – Ultra Violet disinfection added in 2008-2009
2	Other chemicals in use			None
3	Aerators present	Х		Various types of aerators, diffusers
4	Plant fenced and locked	Х		
5	Warning Signs Visible	Х		
6	Fence in good condition	Х		
7	Dikes in good condition	Х		Concrete lined oxidation ditch; EQ basin lined with plastic mesh in 2007
8	Odor non-existent or limited	Х		
9	Grass mowed	Х		
10	Duckweed/Algae acceptable	Х		
11	Grease build-up acceptable	Х		
12	Plant free of debris	Х		Manual bar screen
13	Effluent Color acceptable	Х		
14	Lift Stations present	Х		3 lift stations located throughout system
15	Failure Warning System adequate	Х		
16	Electric Wiring adequate	Х		
17	System free of leaks	Х		
18	System free of overflows	Х		
19	Access road adequate	Х		
20	Ability for service area to expand	Х		

<u>Additional Comments:</u> Discharge to unnamed tributary. No odor present outside of fenced area at WWTP at time of inspection. Customer base includes single family dwellings, apartment buildings, and various commercial customers.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 18, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – I-20

Utility Representative:Robert GilroyNumber of Customers:2093 customers

System Type (collection, force main, lagoon, etc): Collection, forced main, aerated lagoon

Location of System: Oak Grove Subdivision & surrounding area, Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Biological Treatment using aerated lagoon – 0.80 MGD Plant

Permit #: SC0035564

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Non-compliance (April 27 – 29, 2009)

Frequency checked by WWTF Operator: Daily

Drinking Water Provider: Carolina Water Service, Inc., City of West Columbia, wells, others

	System Components Inspected	Comp	liance	Comments
		Yes	No	
1	Chlorinator	Х		
2	Other chemicals in use	Х		
3	Aerators present	Х		Various aerators
4	Plant fenced and locked	Х		
5	Warning Signs Visible	Х		
6	Fence in good condition	Х		
7	Dikes in good condition	Х		
8	Odor non-existent or limited	Х		
9	Grass mowed	Х		
10	Duckweed/Algae acceptable	Х		
11	Grease build-up acceptable	Х		
12	Plant free of debris	Х		
13	Effluent Color acceptable	Х		
14	Lift Stations present	Х		Many lift stations located throughout system
15	Failure Warning System adequate	Х		
16	Electric Wiring adequate	Х		
17	System free of leaks	Х		
18	System free of overflows	Х		
19	Access road adequate	Х		
20	Ability for service area to expand		х	DHEC moratorium on taps due to continued discussions under the 201/208 plan

Additional Comments: Discharge to Saluda River. Customer base includes single family dwellings, apartment buildings, and various commercial customers which includes Midlands Utility, Inc.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 21, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – River Hills

Utility Representative: Mac Mitchell, Dick Henson (for Forest Oaks & River Hills Area)

Number of Customers: 2548 customers

System Type (collection, force main, lagoon, etc): Collection, forced main

Location of System: River Hills Subdivision & surrounding area, Lexington County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia **Treatment Type:** None – discharged to York County

Permit #: SSS000752

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: N/A **Frequency checked by WWTF Operator:** Daily

Drinking Water Provider: Carolina Water Service, Inc. & wells

	System Components Inspected	Comp	liance	Comments
	p p p p	Yes	No	
1	Chlorinator			None
2	Other chemicals in use			None
3	Aerators present			None
4	Plant fenced and locked	Х		
5	Warning Signs Visible	Х		
6	Fence in good condition	Х		
7	Dikes in good condition			None
8	Odor non-existent or limited	Х		
9	Grass mowed	Х		
10	Duckweed/Algae acceptable			N/A
11	Grease build-up acceptable			None
12	Plant free of debris	X		Manual bar screen
13	Effluent Color acceptable			N/A
14	Lift Stations present	X		62 lift stations located throughout system
15	Failure Warning System adequate	Х		
16	Electric Wiring adequate	Х		
17	System free of leaks	Х		
18	System free of overflows	Х		
19	Access road adequate	Х		
20	Ability for service area to expand	Х		

<u>Additional Comments:</u> No odors present outside of wastewater transfer location to York County. Sewer system is collection only with discharge to York County. Customer base includes single family dwellings, apartment buildings, and various commercial customers.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 26, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Oakland Plantation

Utility Representative:Mac Mitchell, Randall Plummer

Number of Customers: 243 customers

System Type (collection, force main, lagoon, etc): Collection, forced main, aerated lagoon

Location of System: Oakland Plantation Subdivision, Sumter County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Aerated lagoon/chlorination and dechlorination

Permit #: SC0030678

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Ammonia-Nitrogen permit exceedance (March 23, 2011)

Frequency checked by WWTF Operator: Daily

Drinking Water Provider:Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc.

Drin	nking Water Provider:	Utilitie	es Servic	tes of South Carolina, Inc.
	System Components Inspected	Comp	liance	Comments
		Yes	No	
1	Chlorinator	X		
2	Other chemicals in use	Х		Dechlorination using liquid sodium sulfite; metal scavenger
3	Aerators present	Х		3 Aerators operating
4	Plant fenced and locked	Х		
5	Warning Signs Visible	X		
6	Fence in good condition	X		
7	Dikes in good condition	X		Concrete lining
8	Odor non-existent or limited	X		
9	Grass mowed	X		
10	Duckweed/Algae acceptable	NA	NA	
11	Grease build-up acceptable	X		
12	Plant free of debris	X		Manual bar screen
13	Effluent Color acceptable	X		
14	Lift Stations present	X		1 lift station
15	Failure Warning System adequate	Х		
16	Electric Wiring adequate	Х		
17	System free of leaks	Х		
18	System free of overflows	Х		
19	Access road adequate	Х		
20	Ability for service area to expand	X		

Additional Comments: Discharge to unnamed tributary. Plant components include EQ basin, horizontal clarifier and digester. Customer base includes single family dwellings, apartment buildings, and two restaurants. Area developed in 1960's.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 26, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name:Carolina Water Service, Inc. – PocallaUtility Representative:Mac Mitchell, Randall Plummer

Number of Customers: 151 customers

System Type (collection, force main, lagoon, etc): Collection/gravity flow

Location of System: Pocalla Village/Belk Subdivisions, Sumter County

Location of Utility Office: 110 Queen Parkway, West Columbia

Treatment Type: Activated sludge **Permit #:** SC0030724

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Satisfactory (November 3 – 5, 2008)

Frequency checked by WWTF Operator: Daily

Drinking Water Provider: Carolina Water Service, Inc.

	System Components Inspected	Comp	liance	Comments
		Yes	No	
1	Chlorinator	Х		UV Disinfection installed but not permitted to operate
2	Other chemicals in use	Х		Sodium sulfite
3	Aerators present	X		
4	Plant fenced and locked	X		
5	Warning Signs Visible	Х		
6	Fence in good condition	Х		
7	Dikes in good condition	Х		
8	Odor non-existent or limited	X		
9	Grass mowed	X		
10	Duckweed/Algae acceptable	NA	NA	
11	Grease build-up acceptable	X		
12	Plant free of debris	X		
13	Effluent Color acceptable	Х		
14	Lift Stations present			All gravity flow
15	Failure Warning System adequate	Х		
16	Electric Wiring adequate	Х		
17	System free of leaks	Х		
18	System free of overflows	Х		
19	Access road adequate	X		
20	Ability for service area to expand	Х		

Additional Comments: Discharges to tributary of Pocotaligo River.



Inspection Overview

Date Inspected: July 26, 2011

Inspector Name: Willie Morgan, Dawn Hipp

Docket Number: 2011-47-WS

Utility Name: Carolina Water Service, Inc. – Whites Creek/Lincolnshire

Utility Representative:Mac Mitchell, Randall Plummer

Number of Customers: 244 customers

System Type (collection, force main, lagoon, etc): Collection, forced main, activated sludge process

Location of System: Whites Creek Rd, Georgetown County

Location of Utility Office: West Columbia, SC

Treatment Type: Activated sludge/chlorination and dechlorination

Permit #: SC0030732

Last SC DHEC Compliance Rating: Non-compliance (August 24 – 26, 2009)

Frequency checked by WWTF Operator: Daily

Drinking Water Provider:Georgetown Co. Rural Comm. Water Dist

Drii	Drinking Water Provider:		Georgetown Co. Rural Comm. Water Dist.				
	System Components Inspected	Compliance		Comments			
		Yes	No				
1	Chlorinator	Х					
2	Other chemicals in use	X					
3	Aerators present	Х		Mixers and extended air in tank			
4	Plant fenced and locked	Х					
5	Warning Signs Visible	Х					
6	Fence in good condition	Х					
7	Dikes in good condition	NA	NA				
8	Odor non-existent or limited	Х					
9	Grass mowed	Х					
10	Duckweed/Algae acceptable	NA	NA				
11	Grease build-up acceptable	Х					
12	Plant free of debris	Х		Manual bar screen			
13	Effluent Color acceptable		Х	Cloudy with solids. Sludge removal was ordered by CWS.			
14	Lift Stations present	Х		2 lift stations – 1 w/grinder pump			
15	Failure Warning System adequate	Х					
16	Electric Wiring adequate	Х					
17	System free of leaks	Х					
18	System free of overflows	Х					
19	Access road adequate	Х					
20	Ability for service area to expand	Х		50 taps available			

Additional Comments: Discharge to Sand Pit creek. Inflow/Infiltration a large problem in service area due to original construction of system by developer in the 1960's. Plant is severely impacted by rain and storm water run-off on date of inspection. DHEC notified by operator of effluent condition.

CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INC. 2011-47-WS REVENUE IMPACT ANALYSIS

Calculated CWS Test Year Revenue Overview

Bill code	Customer Classification	Consumption in Gallons	Usage Charge per 1,000	Service Units	Base Facility	Test Year Calculated
Bill code	Customer Classification	Consumption in Gallons	gallons	Service Offics	Charge (BFC)	Revenues
400PWCOM	1" Purchase Water Comm.	5,896,971	\$2.03	282	\$29.02	\$20,154
	1.5" Purchase Water Comm.	5,439,060	\$2.03	173	\$58.04	\$21,082
	2" Purchase Water Comm.	23,570,727	\$2.03	361	\$92.86	\$81,371
	3" Purchase Water Comm.	8,978,540	\$2.03	110	\$174.12	\$37,380
400PWCOM	3/4" Purchase Water Comm.	882,820	\$2.03	51	\$11.09	\$2,358
400PWCOM	4" Purchase Water Comm.	2,658,330	\$2.03	16	\$290.20	\$10,040
400PWCOM	5/8" Purchase Water Comm.	8,093,710	\$2.03	1,045	\$11.09	\$28,019
400PWRES	1" Purchase Water Residential	5,055,440	\$2.03	1,200	\$11.09	\$23,571
400PWRES	1.5" Purchase Water Residential	1,834,600	\$2.03	612	\$11.09	\$10,511
400PWRES	2" Purchase Water Residential	7,564,200	\$2.03	780	\$11.09	\$24,006
400PWRES	3/4" Purchase Water Residential	74,380	\$2.03	13	\$11.09	\$295
400PWRES	4" Purchase Water Residential	234,000	\$2.03	176	\$11.09	\$2,427
400PWRES	5/8" Purchase Water Residential	186,046,152	\$2.03	33,770	\$11.09	\$752,183
400PWRRH	1" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	329,300	\$2.03	32	\$11.09	\$1,023
400PWRRH	2" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	13,811,160	\$2.03	5,126	\$11.09	\$84,884
400PWRRH	3" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	5,262,100	\$2.03	1,692	\$11.09	\$29,446
400PWRRH	3/4" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	3,210,440	\$2.03	708	\$11.09	\$14,369
400PWRRH	5/8" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	160,934,878	\$2.03	29,061	\$11.09	\$648,984
400PWRRH	6" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	2,629,500	\$2.03	480	\$11.09	\$10,661
400WCOM	1" Commercial	874,670	\$3.55	33	\$29.02	\$4,063
400WCOM	2" Commercial	1,050,500	\$3.55 \$3.55	10	\$92.86 \$11.00	\$4,658 \$5,973
400WCOM	5/8" Commercial	1,151,484	\$3.55	161	\$11.09	\$5,873
400WRES	1" Residential	1,156,090	\$3.55	224	\$11.09	\$6,588
400WRES	3/4" Residential	2,380,970	\$3.55	388	\$11.09	\$12,755
400WRES	5/8" Residential	107,038,333	\$3.55	19,561	\$11.09	\$596,918
-	Water Service Total	556,158,355		96,065	ļ	\$2,433,619
400148446	4" MM Commonial			40	# 00.00	
	1" WW Commercial			12	\$39.00	
400WWCOM	1.5" WW Commercial			36	\$39.00	
400WWCOM	2" WW Commercial			816	\$39.00	
400WWCOM	5/8" WW Commercial		ļ	3,708	\$39.00	04====
	Subtotal WW Commercial			4,572	\$39.00	\$178,308
400WWCRH	1" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			960	\$25.70	
400WWCRH	1.5" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			1,452	\$25.70	
	2" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			4,950	\$25.70	
	3" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			2,052	\$25.70	
400WWCRH	3/4" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			144	\$25.70	
400WWCRH	4" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			1,032	\$25.70	
400WWCRH	5/8" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			1,524	\$25.70	
	Subtotal WW Comm Riverhills			12,114	\$25.70	\$311,329
400WWRCP	5/8" Purchase WW Residential - Richland			4,788	\$25.70	\$123,052
400WWRES	1" WW Residential			1,152	\$39.00	
400WWRES	1.5" WW Residential			612	\$39.00	
400WWRES	2" WW Residential			576	\$39.00	
400WWRES	3/4" WW Residential			12	\$39.00	
400WWRES	4" WW Residential			192	\$39.00	
400WWRES	5/8" WW Residential			90,168	\$39.00	
	Subtotal WW Residential			92,712	\$39.00	\$3,615,768
400WWRBJ	Residential Wastewater Service			816	\$25.70	\$20,971
	1" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			12	\$25.70	
400WWRRH	2" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			1,008	\$25.70	
400WWRRH	3" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			1,584	\$25.70	
	3/4" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			516	\$25.70	
400WWRRH	5/8" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			28,560	\$25.70	
400WWRRH	6" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			4,728	\$25.70	
	Subtotal WW Residential - Riverhills			36,408	\$25.70	\$935,686
400WWRTC	5/8" Town of Chapin Purchase WW Residential			1,020	\$25.70	\$26,214
400WWTRL	2" WW Trailer Residential			780	\$27.77	
400WWTRL	5/8" WW Trailer Residential			348	\$27.77	
	Subtotal WW Trailer Residential			1,128	\$27.77	\$31,325
400TRT	Van Arsdale Wastewater Treatment			5,017	\$16.53	\$82,931
400WWRHT	Riverhills Wastewater Treatment			37	\$25.70	\$951
	Sewer Service Total			158,612		\$5,326,535
	Total Water and Sewer Service Revenues	556,158,355		254,677		\$7,760,154
Miscellaneous I		, ,		,		\$164,960
	Revenues - Other ²					\$22,681
	ter Revenues (Non-CIAC tap fees)					\$71,713
	Revenue - Meter Fee					\$71,713
			-		 	φυ
	Revenue - Disconnection Charge for water and					# 2
sewer service	D T				1	\$0
	Revenue - Tampering Charge					\$0
wiiscellaneous	Revenue - Pumping Charge				1	\$0
L	Total Miscellaneous Revenues		ļ		 	\$259,354
Uncollectible A						(\$79,035)
	Total Operating Revenues				<u> </u>	\$7,940,473

CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INC. 2011-47-WS REVENUE IMPACT ANALYSIS

Calculated CWS Proposed Revenue Overview

Guiodiatou G	WS Froposed Revenue Overview		Usage Charge			Test Year	1	
Bill code	Customer Classification	Concumption in College		Service Units	Base Facility		Increase	% Increase
Bill code	Customer Classification	Consumption in Gallons	per 1,000	Service Utilis	Charge (BFC)	Calculated	Amount	% increase
			gallons		, ,	Revenues		
	1" Purchase Water Comm.	5,896,971	\$3.71	282	\$51.99	\$36,539	\$16,385	81.30%
400PWCOM	1.5" Purchase Water Comm.	5,439,060	\$3.71	173	\$103.98	\$38,167	\$17,085	81.04%
400PWCOM	2" Purchase Water Comm.	23,570,727	\$3.71	361	\$166.36	\$147,503	\$66,132	81.27%
400PWCOM	3" Purchase Water Comm.	8,978,540	\$3.71	110	\$311.93	\$67,623	\$30,243	80.91%
	3/4" Purchase Water Comm.	882,820	\$3.71	51	\$19.87	\$4,289	\$1,931	81.89%
	4" Purchase Water Comm.	2,658,330	\$3.71	16	\$519.89	\$18,181	\$8,141	81.09%
	5/8" Purchase Water Comm.	8,093,710	\$3.71	1,045	\$19.87	\$50,792	\$22,773	81.28%
400PWRES	1" Purchase Water Residential	5,055,440	\$3.71	1,200	\$19.87	\$42,600	\$19,029	80.73%
400PWRES	1.5" Purchase Water Residential	1,834,600	\$3.71	612	\$19.87	\$18,967	\$8,456	80.45%
	2" Purchase Water Residential	7,564,200	\$3.71	780	\$19.87	\$43,562	\$19,556	81.46%
400PWRES	3/4" Purchase Water Residential	74,380	\$3.71	13	\$19.87	\$534	\$239	81.02%
400PWRES	4" Purchase Water Residential	234,000	\$3.71	176	\$19.87	\$4,365	\$1,938	79.85%
	5/8" Purchase Water Residential	186,046,152	\$3.71	33,770	\$19.87	\$1,361,241	\$609,058	80.97%
400PWRRH	1" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	329,300	\$3.71	32	\$19.87	\$1,858	\$835	81.62%
400PWRRH								
	2" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	13,811,160	\$3.71	5,126	\$19.87	\$153,093	\$68,209	80.36%
	3" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	5,262,100	\$3.71	1,692	\$19.87	\$53,142	\$23,696	80.47%
	3/4" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	3,210,440	\$3.71	708	\$19.87	\$25,979	\$11,610	80.80%
400PWRRH	5/8" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	160,934,878	\$3.71	29,061	\$19.87	\$1,174,510	\$525,526	80.98%
400PWRRH	6" Purchased Water Res Riverhills	2,629,500	\$3.71	480	\$19.87	\$19,293	\$8,632	80.97%
400WCOM	1" Commercial	874,670	\$6.49	33	\$51.99	\$7,392	\$3,329	81.93%
400WCOM	2" Commercial	1,050,500	\$6.49	10	\$166.36	\$8,481	\$3,823	82.07%
	5/8" Commercial	1,151,484	\$6.49	161	\$19.87	\$10,672	\$4,799	81.71%
400WCOM								
400WRES	1" Residential	1,156,090	\$6.49	224	\$19.87	\$11,954	\$5,366	81.45%
400WRES	3/4" Residential	2,380,970	\$6.49	388	\$19.87	\$23,162	\$10,407	81.59%
400WRES	5/8" Residential	107,038,333	\$6.49	19,561	\$19.87	\$1,083,356	\$486,438	81.49%
	Water Service Total	556,158,355		96,065		\$4,407,255	\$1,973,636	81.10%
	Water Service rotar	330,130,333		30,003		ψ+,+01,200	ψ1,313,030	01.1070
400/4//4/004	1" WW Commercial		 	40				
				12				
	1.5" WW Commercial			36				
	2" WW Commercial			816				
400WWCOM	5/8" WW Commercial			3,708				
	Subtotal WW Commercial			4,572	\$44.32	\$202,631	\$24,323	13.64%
				.,	¥11114=	4 -0-,000	4= 1,0=0	
400\\\\\\CPH	1" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			960				
	1.5" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			1,452				
	2" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			4,950				
	3" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			2,052				
400WWCRH	3/4" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			144				
400WWCRH	4" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			1,032				
400WWCRH	5/8" Purchase WW Comm Riverhills			1,524				
	Subtotal WW Comm Riverhills			12,114	\$29.20	\$353,728	\$42,399	13.62%
	Cubicital VVV Contini. Tavernino			12,117	Ψ20.20	ψ000,120	Ψ42,000	10.0270
400WWRCP	5/8" Purchase WW Residential - Richland			4 700	£20.20	£420.040	¢40.750	12.020/
4000000CP	3/0 Fulcilase WW Residential - Richiand			4,788	\$29.20	\$139,810	\$16,758	13.62%
	1" WW Residential			1,152				
400WWRES	1.5" WW Residential			612				
400WWRES	2" WW Residential			576				
400WWRES	3/4" WW Residential			12				
	4" WW Residential			192				
	5/8" WW Residential			90,168				
400WWKE3					£44.00	£4.400.000	£400.000	40.040/
	Subtotal WW Residential			92,712	\$44.32	\$4,108,996	\$493,228	13.64%
400WWRBJ	Residential Wastewater Service			816	\$29.20	\$23,827	\$2,856	13.62%
400WWRRH	1" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			12				
	2" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			1,008			İ	
	3" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			1,584				
	3/4" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills		1				-	
		 		516				
	5/8" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			28,560				
400WWRRH	6" Purchase WW Residential - Riverhills			4,728				
	Subtotal WW Residential - Riverhills			36,408	\$29.20	\$1,063,114	\$127,428	13.62%
400WWRTC	5/8" Town of Chapin Purchase WW Residential			1,020	\$29.20	\$29,784	\$3,570	13.62%
				,		,	,	
400WWTRL	2" WW Trailer Residential			780				
	5/8" WW Trailer Residential	†						
400VVVVIKL		 		348	604 5 -	# 05.55	A	40.05**
<u> </u>	Subtotal WW Trailer Residential			1,128	\$31.56	\$35,600	\$4,275	13.65%
400TRT	Van Arsdale Wastewater Treatment			5,017	\$18.78	\$94,219	\$11,288	13.61%
400WWRHT	Riverhills Wastewater Treatment			37	\$29.20	\$1,080	\$129	13.56%
	Sewer Service Total			158,612		\$6,052,789	\$726,254	13.63%
	Total Water and Sewer Service Revenues	556,158,355		254,677		\$10,460,044	\$2,699,890	34.79%
841 "		000,100,000	 	204,077				
Miscellaneous						\$236,697	\$71,737	43.49%
Miscellaneous Revenues - Other ²		<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u></u>	\$22,681	\$0	0.00%
	ter Revenues (Non-CIAC tap fees)					\$71,713	\$0	0.00%
	Revenue - Meter Fee					\$23,000	\$23,000	
	Revenue - Disconnection Charge for water and					, ==,==0	,,	
sewer service								
		 				\$121,000	\$121,000	
	Revenue - Tampering Charge					\$2,950	\$2,950	
Miscellaneous	Revenue - Pumping Charge					\$50,750	\$50,750	
	Total Miscellaneous Revenues					\$528,791	\$269,437	103.89%
Uncollectible A	ccounts ³	<u> </u>				(\$79,840)	(\$805)	1.02%
	Total Operating Revenues					\$10,908,995	\$2,968,522	37.38%
			•					

CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INC. 2011-47-WS REVENUE IMPACT ANALYSIS

ORS Calculation Methodology Footnotes:

- 1. "Miscellaneous Revenues" The late payment charges will increase due to the increase in proposed rates.
- 2. "Miscellaneous Revenues Other" is added by ORS to account for CWS' revenue received from a 3rd party vendor, Home Service, for the use of Carolina Water Service customer information to market a product to provide insurance for repairs that may be required on customer-owned piping. ORS allocated "Miscellaneous Revenue Other" based on ERCs.
- 3. ORS revenue for "Uncollectible Accounts" is set at the 2009 amount due to test year billing data and CWS collections procedure.
- 4. Consumption and Service Units reflected as reported by CWS during test year and adjusted based on the ORS review. Growth factor not included for comparison purposes.
- 5. Customer Tap Fees, Deposits and Interest on Deposits are not included in any of the above service revenue calculations.

Date 9/30/2009 9/30/2010 Average	Water Customers 7,465 7,645 7,555	Sewer Customers 10,772 10,962 10,867	Total Customers 18,237 18,607 18,422
Growth Factor for Water			
Date	# of Customers		
9/30/2010	7,645		
Average	7,555		
Growth Factor	0.0119126	1.19126%	
Growth Factor for Sewer			
Date	# of Customers		
9/30/2010	10,962		
Average	10,867		
Growth Factor	0.0087421	0.87421%	
Growth Factor for Combine	ed Operations		
Date	# of Customers		
9/30/2010	18,607		
Average	18,422		
Growth Factor	0.0100423	1.00423%	

WATER

	Current	Proposed
. Monthly Charges		
Residential		
Basic Facilities Charge Commodity Charge	\$11.09 per unit \$3.55 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft.	\$19.87 per unit \$6.49 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft.
Commercial Basic Facilities Charge by Meter Size 5/8 " meter 1 " meter 1.5 " meter 2 " meter 3 " meter 4 " meter Commodity Charge	\$11.09 \$29.02 \$58.04 \$92.86 \$174.12 \$290.20 \$3.55 per 1,000	\$19.87 \$51.99 \$103.98 \$166.36 \$311.93 \$519.89 \$6.49 per 1,000
	gallons or 134 cft.	gallons or 134 cft.

Charges for Water Distribution Only

Where water is purchased from a governmental body or agency or other entity for distribution and resale by the Company, the following rates apply:

Residential

1.

Base Facilities Charge per single family house, condominium, mobile home or apartment unit:	\$11.09 per unit	\$19.87 per unit
Commodity Charge	\$2.03 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft.	\$3.71 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft.
Commercial		
Basic Facilities Charge		
by Meter Size		
5/8 " meter	\$11.09	\$19.87
1 " meter	\$29.02	\$51.99
1.5 " meter	\$58.04	\$103.98
2 " meter	\$92.86	\$166.36
3 " meter	\$174.12	\$311.93
4 " meter	\$290.20	\$519.89
Commodity Charge	\$2.03 per 1,000	\$3.71 per 1,000
	gallons or 134 cft.	gallons or 134 cft.

CWS will also charge for the cost of water purchased from the bulk water provider. The charges imposed by the bulk water provider will be charged to the Utility's affected customers on a pro rata basis without markup.

WATER (continued)

2. Nonrecurring Charges			
 A) Water Service Con 	nection (New connections only)	\$300 per SFE*	\$300 per SFE*
B) Plant Impact Fee (New connections only)	\$400 per SFE*	\$400 per SFE*

C) Water Meter

5/8 " meter N/A \$100 in addition to

installing meter

all others meter N/A actual cost of installation

 ${\bf 3.} \quad {\bf Account\ Set-Up,\ Reconnection\ Charges,\ Disconnection\ Charges\ and\ Tampering\ Charges}$

A) Customer Account Charge - for new customers only.

B) All Areas \$13.50 \$30.00

C) Reconnection Charges: In addition to any other charges that may be due, areconnection fee of thirty five dollars (\$35.00) shall be due prior to the Utility reconnecting service which has been disconnected for any reason set forth in Commission Rule R.103-732.5. Customers who ask to be reconnected within nine months of disconnection will be charged the monthly base facility charge for the service period they were disconnected. The reconnection fee shall also be due prior to reconnection if water service has been been disconnected at the request of the customer.

D) Disconnection Charge:

N/A

actual cost of disconnection

alocol il local

E) Tampering Charge: N/A actual cost to

repair

SEWER

		SLWLK	Current	Proposed
1.	Monthly Charges			
	Residential - charge per single-family house, condominium, villa, or apartment unit:		\$39.00 per unit	\$44.32 per unit
	Mobile Homes:		\$27.77 per unit	\$31.56 per unit
	Commercial:		\$39.00 per SFE*	\$44.32 per SFE*
	Charge for Sewer Collection Only			
	Residential - per single-family house, condominium, or apartment unit		\$25.70 per unit	\$29.20 per unit
	Commercial - per single-family equivalent		\$25.70 per SFE*	\$29.20 per SFE*
	Charge for Wholesale Service (Midlands Utility)		\$16.53 per SFE*	\$29.20 per SFE*
	CWS will also charge for the cost of sewer treatment provider will be a basis without markup.			n a pro rata
	Solids Interceptor Tanks A. Pumping Charge (per pumping episode)		\$150.00	\$150.00 plus any additional cost
	B. Pump Repair or Replacement Charge		varies	varies
2.	Nonrecurring Charges A) Sewer Service Connection (New connections B) Plant Impact Fee (New connections only)	s only)	\$300 per SFE* \$400 per SFE*	\$300 per SFE* \$400 per SFE*
3.	Notification, Account Set-Up, Reconnection Char A) Notification Fee	rges, Discon		-
	Service discontinuation notice		\$4.00	\$15.00
	B) Customer Account Charge - for new customer All Areas The charge will be waived if the		\$13.50 so takes water service.	\$30.00

C) Reconnection Charges: In addition to any other charges that may be due, a reconnection fee of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) shall be due prior to the Utility reconnecting service which has been disconnected for any reason set forth in Commission Rule R.103-532.4. Where an elder valve has been previously installed, a reconnection charge of thirty-five dollars (\$35.00) shall be due. Customers who ask to be reconnected within nine months of disconnection will be charged the monthly base facility charge for the service period they were disconnected.

EXHIBIT WJM-4

D) Disconnection Charge:

N/A

actual cost of disconnection

E) Tampering Charge: N/A actual cost to

repair

* Single Family Equivalent